Subject Description Form

Subject Code	APSS5775		
Subject Title	Practice Research		
Credit Value	3		
Level	5		
Pre-requisite / Co-requisite/ Exclusion	Nil		
Assessment Methods	100% Continuous Assessment	Individual Assessment	
	1. Seminar Participation	20%	
	2. Seminar Group Presentation	30%	
	3. Individual term paper	50%	
	 The grade is calculated according to The completion and submission of a for passing the subject; and Student must pass all components if 1. To orient students to rethink the nature 	Ill component assignments are required he/she is to pass the subject.	
Objectives	 practice research as an integral compone To enable students to have a solid grasp particular emphasis on the application of the study of professional practice; To acquaint students with the current debay 	nt of social work practice; of the research methods and skills, with quantitative and qualitative methods to	
Intended Learning Outcomes	Upon completion of the subject, students will be able to:a. Acquaint with knowledge of undertaking empirical research in social work and human service practice		
	b. Process the competence in employing appropriate research methods to the analysis of problems and to articulate the process and results of professional practice		
	c. Build up their capacity of critical and re	eflective thinking in social inquiry	
	d. Generate new knowledge not only for un for improving professional practice	nderstanding social phenomena, but also	

Subject Synopsis / Indicative Syllabus	 Methodological Disputes in Social Research why does social work need practice research? should social work and/or therapeutic practice be eclectic?
	 2. Social Research in general Quantitative Method: Step by Step Concepts, theories and models Literature Review and Problem Formulation Conceptualization, Operationalization, and Questionnaire Design Sampling in practice Data collection methods
	 3. Single Case System Design Purposes of single case system design Three essential processes: baselining, intervention and follow-up Problem statements and progress plan Different types of baselining Different forms of design Calculation of C statistics (optional)
	 4. Evidence-based Practice Purposes of Evidence-based Practice Collaborative goals among various professions The Five Steps Does Evidence-based Practice exist?
	 5. An alternative: classic grounded theory Theory verification or theory formation? Its operational procedure: open coding, selective coding and theoretical coding Diagramming and memoing Grounded Therapy and its application
	 6. Action Research and Collaborative Therapy General introduction to social constructionism and action research Participatory action research Dialogical action research Dialogical therapy and collaborative therapy Re-searching collaborative process
Teaching and Learning Methodology	Classroom teaching by lecturers will go parallel with learning through hands-on experience by students to assist students gaining an "insider" experience of doing practice research. Different methods will be introduced in seminars for practice analysis. The lecturer will analyse and reconstruct the major steps of how practice research can be conducted and methodological issues be highlighted. In addition, students will be required, by means of seminar assignments, to do hands-on practice on different operational procedures and to experience the management of practice research in practical context. In the end, students will be required to write a paper on major practice issues arising from the application of practice research to professional contexts.

Assessment Methods in Alignment with Intended Learning Outcomes

Specific assessment methods / tasks	% weighting	Intended subject learning outcomes to be assessed (Please tick as appropriate)			
		а	b	с	d
1. Seminar Participation	20%	~	~	√	~
2. Seminar Group Presentation	30%	~	~	√	~
3. Individual term paper	50%	~	~	√	~
Total	100%				

Explanation of the appropriateness of the assessment methods in assessing the intended learning outcomes:

Seminar presentation requires students to present their draft of research proposal. Students then finalize and submit their research proposal based on the discussion with lecturer before, during, and after seminars. Whether students can achieve the intended learning outcomes can be thoroughly assessed through this ongoing process.

Assessment for Practice Research

Assessment: 100% Continuous

Seminar participation	20%
Seminar group presentation	30%
Individual term paper	50%
Total	100%

Assessment Criteria

- Seminar participation is assessed in terms of their intensity of participation
 - # willingness to participate in doing exercises (20%)
 - ranged from high (5) medium 3-4) and low (0-2)

frequency of raising interesting questions

ranged from high (5) medium 3-4) and low (0-2)

efforts in answering questions

ranged from high (5) medium 3-4) and low (0-2)

overall performance

ranged from high (5) medium 3-4) and low (0-2)

	Seminar group participation is assessed in terms of (30%)
	#their attendance, full (5%) medium (2-4) and low (0-1)
	#their intensity of participation ranged from full (5%)
	medium (2-4) and low (0-1) (5%)
	#efforts in discussion ranged from full (5%) medium (2-4) and low
	(0-1) (5%)
	#structure of the presentation ranged from full (5%) medium (2-4) and
	low (0-1) (5%)
	#originality ranged from full (5%) medium (2-4) and low (0-1) (5%)
	#relevance to professional practice ranged from full (5%) medium
	(2-4) and low $(0-1)(5%)$
	(2 +) and low $(0 +) (3 + 0)$
Indiv	idual papers are assessed in terms of the following aspects:
1.	Competence and writing skills in an inquiry project 5% (nine point-scale for
	each aspects, point 9 is 'excellent', point 0 is 'totally incomprehensible)
	- able to articulate the objectives and methods of the discussion
	- able to show the logical structure of the essay
2.	Competence in analyzing information with a view to using practice research
	methods 10% (five point-scale for each aspect, point 5 is 'excellent', point 0
	is 'totally incomprehensible)
	 able to show one's analytical competence able to show one's ability in collecting information from literature or related fields
3.	Competence in integrating research methods with practice (10%) - Able to show the integrating methods of the relationship between
	research methods and practical skills (five point-scale for each
	aspects, point 5 is 'excellent', point 0 is 'totally
	incomprehensible)
	- Able to point out the significance of the integration (five point-
	scale for each aspects, point 5 is 'excellent', point 0 is 'totally
	incomprehensible)
4.	Ability in doing reflection on their experiences in practice (10%) (5 point-
	scale for each aspects, point 5 is 'excellent', point 0 is 'totally absent)
	- Able to point out the 'gaps'/'theoretical impasse' in the related
	discussion
	- Able to point out possible theoretical/practical solutions

5. Quality of the written report 15%

- Clarification 5%
- Innovative/original ideas 10%

Assessment Scheme

Assessment grades shall be awarded on a criterion-referenced basis. A student's overall performance in a subject shall be graded as follows:

	Subject	Short	Elaboration on subject gradi	ing description	
	grade	description			
	A+ (95or above)	Exceptionally Outstanding	The student's work is exceptio intended subject learning outco	nally outstanding. It exceeds the omes in all regards.	
	A (91+)	Fairly Outstanding	The student's work is fairly outstanding excellent. It exceeds the intended subject learning outcomes in nearly all regards.		
	A-(87+)	Outstanding	The student's work is outstand intended subject learning outcome		
	B+ (83+)	Very Good	The student's work is very goo learning outcomes in most the	bd. It exceeds the intended subject majority of regards.	
	B(79+)	Fairly Good	The student's work is fairly go learning outcomes in most the	od. It exceeds the intended subject majority of regards.	
	B- (75+)	Good	The student's work is good. It learning outcomes in some reg	-	
	C+ (70+)	Wholly Satisfactory	The student's work is wholly s intended subject learning outco	atisfactory. It fully meets all the omes.	
	C (66+)	Very Satisfactory	The student's work is very sati intended subject learning outcome	sfactory. It largely meets all the omes.	
	C-(62+)	Satisfactory	The student's work is satisfactory subject learning outcomes.	ory. It largely meets all the intended	
	D+ (58)	Very adequate	The student's work is very ade the intended subject learning of	quate. It fails marginally to meet all putcomes.	
	D((54+)	Adequate	The student's work is adequate meet all the intended subject lo	e. It fails in some major aspects to earning outcomes.	
	D-(50+)	Barely Adequate	The student's work is barely ad intended subject learning outco	dequate. It fails to meet most of the omes only in some regards.	
	F	Inadequate	The student's work is inadequa intended subject learning outco	ate. It fails to meet almost all the omes.	
	Late submission of Assignment. Marks will be deducted for late submission. Plagiarism. According to the Hong Kong Polytechnic University views that plagiarism as a serious disciplinary				
Student Study Effort Required	Class contact:				
Keyun cu	Lecture		27 Hrs.		
	 Semin 	ar		12 Hrs.	
	Other stud	ent study effor	·t:		
	• Read of	lass materials		30 Hrs.	

 Prepare class presentation 	10 Hrs.
Write term paper	30 Hrs.
Total student study effort	109 Hrs.

Reading List and	Essential
References	Bloom, M. and Britner, P.A. (2012). <i>Client-centered evaluation: New models for helping professionals.</i> Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education/Allyn & Bacon.
	Bloom, M., Fischer, J. and Orme, J. (eds.). (2009). <i>Evaluating practice: Guidelines for the accountable professional. 6th edition.</i> Boston: Pearson.
	Creswell, John W. 2009. Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. Thousand Oaks, Calif.: Sage Publications.
	Drisko, James W. and Melissa D. Grady. 2019. Evidence-based practice in clinical social work. Cham, Switzerland: Springer.
	Egan, Marcia. 2010. Evidence-based interventions for social work in health care. New York and London: Routledge.Fox, M., Martin, P., & Green, G. (2007) <i>Doing Practitioner Research</i> . London: Sage.
	Marthinsen, E. and I. Julkunen. (eds.) 2012. Practice Research in Nordic social work. London: Whitin and Birch MMXII.
	Martyn, Helen, (eds,) 2000. Developing reflective practice. Bristol: Polity Press.
	Nugent, W. R. 2010. Analyzing single system design data. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
	Royse, D., B. Thyer, D. Padgett. 2016. Program evaluation: An introduction to an Evidence-Based Approach. 6 th Edition. Boston: Cengage Learning.
	Smith, David. 2005. Social work and Evidence-based practice.London and Philadelphia: Jesssica Kingley Publishers.
	<u>Supplementary</u>
	Allen-Meares, A. and C. Garvin (eds.). (2000). <i>The handbook of social work direct practice</i> . Thousand Oaks, London and New Delhi: Sage Publications, Inc.
	Arieli, D., V. Friedman and K. Agbaria. (2009). The paradox of participation in action research. <i>Action Research, 7</i> , 263-290.
	Banks, S. (2012). <i>Ethics and values in social work</i> (4th Edition ed.). Houndmills, Basingstoke, Hampshire: Palgrave Macmillan.
	Barth, R. et al. (2012). Evidence-based practice at a crossroads: The timely emergency of common elements and common factors. <i>Research on Social Work Practice, 22</i> (1), 108-119.
	Beins, B. (2004). Research methods: A tool for life. Boston: Pearson Education, Inc.
	Bilson, A. (Ed.). (2005). <i>Evidence-based practice in social work</i> . London: Whiting & Birch.
	Bloom, M. (. (1993). Single-system designs in the social services: Issues and options for the 1990s. New York: The Haworth Press., Inc.

Bradbury, H. (Eds.). (2015). <i>Handbook of action research</i> . London, Thousand Oaks and New Delhi: Sage publications. 3 rd Edition.
Byrant, A., & Charmaz, K. (Eds.). (2007). <i>The sage handbook of grounded theory.</i> Los Angeles: Sage Publications.
Charmaz, k. (2006). Constructing grounded theory a practical guide through qualitative analysis. London: Sage.
Cramer, D. (1994). Introducing statistics for social research: Step-by-step calculations and computer techniques using SPSS. London and New York: Routledge.
Di Noia, J., & Tripodi, T. (2008). <i>Single-case design for clinical social workers</i> (2nd ed.). Washington, D.C.: NASW Press.
Evans T., Hardy M. 2010. Evidence and Knowledge for Practice. Cambridge: Polity Press.
Fischer, J. (1978). Does anything work? <i>Journal of Social Service Research, 1</i> , 215- 243.
Fischer, J. (1978). <i>Effective casework practice: an eclectic approach.</i> New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
Svensson, C. F. and P. Ringo. 2023. Revitalizing critical reflection in contemporary social work research, practice and education. London and New York: Routledge.
Gambrill, E. (2011). Evidence-based practice and the ethics of discretion. <i>Journal of Social Work, 11</i> (1), 26-48.
Gast, D. (2010). Single subject research methodology in behavioral sciences. New York and London: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
Gibbs, M. (2003). <i>Evidence-based practice for the helping professions</i> . Pacific Grove, CA.: Brooks/Cole-Thompson Larning.
Glaser, B. (1979). Theoretical sensivity: Advances in the methodology of grounded theory. Mill Valley, California: Sociology Press.
Gomm, R., & Davies, C. (Eds.). (2000). <i>Using evidence in health and social care.</i> London: Sage.
Gray, M., D. Plath and S. Webb. (2009). <i>Evidence-based social work: a critical stance</i> . London and New York: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.
Greenberg, L. S., & Pinsof, W. M. (1986). <i>The psychotherapeutic process: A research handbook</i> . New York: Guilford Press.
Hermans, H., & Gieser, T. (Eds.). (2012). <i>Handbook of dialogical self theory.</i> Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

	Heron, J. (1996). <i>Co-operative inquiry: Research into the human condition.</i> London, Thousand Oaks, New Delhi: Sage Publications.
	Lincoln, Y. and E.G. Guba. (1985). <i>Naturalisitic inquiry</i> . Newbury Park: Sage Publications.
	Littell, J. H., J. Corcoran and V. Pillai. 2008. Systematic review and meta- analysis. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
	Longhofer, J. and J. Floersch. (2012). The coming crisis in social work: Some thoughts on social work and science. <i>Research on Social Work Practice</i> , 22(5), 499-519.
	Mathews, I., & Crawford, K. (2011). <i>Evidence-based practice in social work.</i> Exeter: Learning Matters Ltd.
	Nevo, I. and V. Slonim-Nevo. (2011). The myth of evidence-based practice: Towards evidence-informed practice. <i>British Journal of Social Work, 41,</i> 1176-1197.
	Patron, N. (2008). Changes in the form of knowledge in social work: From the 'Social' to the 'informational'? <i>British Journal of Social Work, 38</i> , 253-269.
	Rzepnicki, T., S. McCracken and H. Briggs. (2012). From task-centered social work to evidence-based and integrative practice: Reflections on history and implementation. Chicago, Inninois: Lyceum Books, Inc.
	Sexton, T., and J. Lebow. (eds.) 2016. Handbook of family therapy. New York and London: Routledge.
	Shaw, I., Briar-Lawson, K., Orme, J., & Ruckdeschel, R. (Eds.). (2010). <i>The sage</i> <i>handbook of social work research</i> . Los Angeles, London, New Delhi, Singapore and Washington, DC: Sage Publication Ltd.
	Sprenkle, D. H., & Piercy, F. P. (2005.). <i>Research methods in family therapy</i> (2nd ed.). New York: Gilford Press.
	Strauss, A., & Corbin, J. (1990). <i>Basics of qualitative research: Grounded theory procedures and techniques.</i> Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
	Stringer, E., & Dwyer, R. (2005). <i>Action Research in human services</i> . Upper Saddle River, New Jersey and Columbus, Ohio: Pearson, Merrill Prentice Hall.
	Taylor, C. and S. White. (2005). What works about what works? Fashion, fad and EBP. In A. Bilson (Ed.), <i>Evidence-based practice in social work</i> (pp. 87-110). London: Whiting and Birch Ltd.
	Thyer, B. (2001). what is the role of theory in research on social work practice? Journal of Social Work Education, 37(1), 9-25.
	Uggerhoj, L. (2011). What is practice research in social work - Definitions, barriers and possibilities. <i>Social Work and Society, 9</i> (1), 45-59.
<u> </u>	

Vaughn, M. G., Howard, M. O., & Thyer, B. A. (Eds.). (2009). <i>Readings in evidence- based social work: Syntheses of the intervention knowledge base.</i> Los Angeles: Sage.
 Webber, Martin. 2008. Evidence-based policy and practice in mental health social work. Exeter: Learning Matters Ltd. Whyte, W. F. (Ed.). (1991). <i>Participatory Action Research</i>. Newbury Park, London, New Delhi.
Wicks, P. and P. Reason. (2009). Initiating action research: Challenges and paradoxes of opening communicative space. <i>Action Research, 7</i> , 243-262.
Wolfer, L. (2007). <i>Real Resarch: Conducting and evaluating research in the social sciences.</i> Boston: Pearson Education Limited.
Wong, S. E. (2010). Single-case evaluation designs for practitioners. <i>Journal of Social Service Research, 36</i> , 248-259.